

MERCHANT'S ALARM SYSTEMS

SEP 9 9996

Two Hundred Three Daterson Avenue
Wallington, New Jersey 07057

Phone (201) 779-4000

Fax (201) 779-7010

September 14, 1996

Mr. William Catch Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: CC Docket No. 96-152

Dear Mr. Caton:

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Earlier this year, our United States Congress passed the Telecommunications Act of 1996. My family owned firm, which has been operating in New Jersey for the last sixty years, is in the business of providing alarm monitoring services.

It has come to my attention that the Bell Operating Companies are attempting to reduce section 275 to a meaningless technical provision. I am soliciting your support to interpret the legislature as it was intended, simply, to prohibit Bell Operating Companies from engaging in any activity in the alarm business for five years.

Enclosing correspondence from our Senator. He specifically states his "support of the alarm industry compromise preventing any of the regional Bell Operating Companies from further expansion into the alarm industry for five years".

A great deal of time and effort was put into the legeslative process to pass the Telecommunications Competition and Deregulation Act of 1996.

Merchant's Alarm Systems strongly urges that the FCC rejects the Bell Companies' distorted interpretation of Section 275 and implement this act as intended by Congress.

Sincerely,

MERCHANT'S ALARM SYSTEMS

Walter G. Wargacki

President

No. of Copies rec'd

ListABCDE

Wargarbo

WGW/is

enclosures(2)

FRANK R. LAUTENBERG

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510-3002

COMMITTEES:
APPROPRIATIONS
BUDGET
ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS
SMALL BUSINESS

HELSINKI COMMISSION

December 20, 1995

Mr. Walter Wargacki Merchants Alarm Systems 203 Paterson Avenue Wallington, New Jersey 07057-1394

Dear Mr. Wargacki:

I am writing to update you on the status of the burglar and fire alarm provisions in the conference report to the Telecommunications Competition and Deregulation Act of 1995. As you may know, House and Senate conferees working on this legislation have tentatively agreed to allow Ameritech to continue to purchase alarm companies during what would otherwise be a five-year delay before regional Bells would be permitted to compete in the alarm business.

I understand that your industry is very concerned about this development. In order to express my support for a five year waiting period before any regional Bell operating company (RBOC) is allowed into the alarm industry, I have sent the enclosed letter to Senator Hollings, the Ranking member of the Commerce Committee.

I am hopeful that the conferees will reconsider the alarm provision before the conference is completed. Please be assured that I will continue to work to ensure that RBOCs are not allowed to dominate the alarm industry.

Sincerely,

Frank R. Lautenberg United States Senator

FRL/jcb Enclosure United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-3002

APPROPRIATIONS
BUDGET
ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS
SMALL BUSINESS

HELSINKI COMMISSION

COMMITTEES:

December 14, 1995

The Honorable Ernest F. Hollings Ranking Member Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation 125 Russell Senate Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Fritz:

I am writing to you regarding the burglar and fire alarm industry provisions contained in the conference report to the Telecommunications Competition and Deregulation Act. I am concerned about the proposed agreement that would impose a five-year delay on regional Bell operating companies (RBOCs) before they would be permitted to enter this market, but would exempt Ameritech from this delay.

I understand that the telecommunications bill is designed to promote competition but I would hope that the bill we pass will not have the effect of forcing independent alarm companies out of business. In order to avoid this, I support the alarm industry compromise position which would allow Ameritech to keep its current alarm company acquisitions but prevent any of the regional Bell operating companies from further expansion into the alarm industry for five years.

I respectfully request you and your fellow conferees to reconsider this portion of the conference report so that the independent ala.m industry will have an opportunity to prepare for competition with the RBOCs. In the long run, consumers will benefit from having access to greater variety in the alarm industry.

Sincerely, Shung